

This is a repository copy of *Insight into the distribution of pharmaceuticals in soil-water-plant systems*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/151016/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Li, Yuanbo, Sallach, J. Brett [orcid.org/0000-0003-4588-3364](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4588-3364), Zhang, Wei et al. (2 more authors) (2019) Insight into the distribution of pharmaceuticals in soil-water-plant systems. Water research. pp. 38-46. ISSN 0043-1354

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.12.039>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# **Insight into the Distribution of Pharmaceuticals in Soil-Water-Plant Systems**

Yuanbo Li, J. Brett Sallach, Wei Zhang, Stephen A. Boyd, and Hui Li\*

Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI  
48824, USA

\* Corresponding Author:

Phone: 517-355-0151

E-mail: [lihui@msu.edu](mailto:lihui@msu.edu)

## ABSTRACT

Pharmaceuticals in agricultural soils originating from irrigation with treated wastewater and land-applied biosolids can enter field crops. However, little is known about the role of pore water in plant uptake of pharmaceuticals from soil. In this study, the fate, uptake and distribution of fifteen commonly used pharmaceuticals in soil-water-radish systems were investigated to examine the relationship between the accumulation and their physicochemical processes in soils. The results indicate that the distribution of pharmaceuticals between soil and pore water, as well as their biodegradation, combined to govern the bioavailability of pharmaceuticals to plant uptake. Fourteen out of 15 pharmaceuticals could enter radish tissues in which the accumulation ranged from 2.1 to 14080 ng/g. Comparison of bioconcentration factors (BCFs) on the basis of pharmaceutical concentration in bulk soil vs. in pore water implies that pharmaceuticals present in soil pore water are the major bioavailable fractions to plant uptake. The pore water-based BCFs exhibited a positive linear relationship with  $\log D_{ow}$  for the pharmaceuticals with > 90% as neutral species in soil pore water, while such relationship was not observed between bulk soil-based BCFs and  $\log D_{ow}$  mainly due to sorption by soil. Other than hydrophobicity, the dissociation of ionizable pharmaceuticals in the soil pore water and (or) root cells may lead to the “ion-trap” effects and thus influence the uptake and translocation process. The large molecular size pharmaceuticals (e.g., tylosin) manifested a minimum uptake due plausibly to the limited permeability of cell membranes.

*Keywords:* Plant uptake; Soil pore water; Bioavailability; Bioaccumulation; Translocation.

## 1. Introduction

Some pharmaceuticals have been recognized as chemicals of emerging concern because they are widespread in the environment, and have the potential adverse effects on non-target organisms and humans (e.g., endocrine disruption and preservation of antibiotic resistance) (Carvalho et al., 2014; Daughton and Ternes, 1999; Kolpin et al., 2002; Madikizela et al., 2018). Conventional wastewater treatment processes cannot effectively remove all pharmaceuticals from the influents, leaving the pharmaceuticals in the effluents at the levels of ng/L to low µg/L (Gros et al., 2010; Sui et al., 2011; Vanderford and Snyder, 2006) and in biosolids at µg/kg to low mg/kg (dry weight) (Clarke and Smith, 2011; McClellan and Halden, 2010). Irrigation with the treated wastewater and land application of biosolids are common agricultural practices, which lead to the dissemination of a wide range of pharmaceuticals in agricultural soils with concentration up to mg/kg levels (Carter et al., 2014; Durán-Alvarez et al., 2009; Kinney et al., 2006; Vazquez-Roig et al., 2010). For example, land application of biosolids at a rate of 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> caused triclosan concentration of 0.77-0.95 mg/kg in the farm soils located in Bedfordshire, UK (Butler et al., 2012). One major consequence of the soil contamination is that these pharmaceuticals could enter food chain after plant uptake, and pose potential risks to human and animal health via dietary consumption (Christou et al., 2017; de Boer et al., 2018; Sallach et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2014). Paltiel et al. (2016) recently found that carbamazepine and its metabolites were detected in human urine after consuming the fresh produce irrigated with treated wastewater.

In soil-plant systems, the amount of organic chemical uptake by plant roots depends largely on sorption/desorption of contaminants in soils and their physicochemical properties. It is assumed that organic chemicals present in soil pore water are readily bioavailable to plant uptake (Miller et al., 2016), and soil water serves as the carrier to move the chemicals into plants. The distribution of pharmaceuticals in soil-water-plant systems is commonly characterized by bioconcentration

factor (BCF) which is typically calculated as the ratio of pharmaceutical concentration in plant to that in bulk soil (Hurtado et al., 2016; Karnjanapiboonwong et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2014; Shenker et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2010). However, pharmaceuticals present in soil pore water vs sorb by soil could manifest different bioavailability to plant uptake. Therefore, BCFs calculated on the basis of pharmaceutical concentration in bulk soil are not comparable among the studies using different soils because of the varying affinities of pharmaceuticals to soils. For instance, soil-based BCFs of seven benzodiazepines in radish could vary by up to 86.0 times between two soils (Carter et al., 2018). The difference of soil-based BCFs between three soils for caffeine, carbamazepine, and lamotrigine in tomato or cucumber can up to 20.0, 7.8, and 245 times, respectively (Goldstein et al., 2014). In fact, BCFs calculated by pharmaceutical concentration in soil pore water are believed to provide more accurate information to describe the uptake process since pharmaceuticals in soil pore water are directly available to plant root uptake. For example, Blaine et al. (2014) used pore water-based BCFs of perfluoroalkyl acids in four vegetables grown in soils to explore the relationship between bioaccumulation and chemical properties, and found the consistent results with the vegetables grown in hydroponic solution but not for soil-based BCFs. Despite numerous greenhouse and field studies that have been conducted, very limited studies were conducted to systematically evaluate the contribution of pharmaceuticals in soil pore water to the bioaccumulation in plants (Boxall et al., 2006; Carter et al., 2014).

Water is believed to carry xenobiotic chemicals (e.g. pharmaceuticals) to cross plant root cortex by symplastic (intracellular space) and apoplastic (extracellular space) pathways (McFarlane and Trapp, 1994; Trapp and Pussemier, 1991). In plant roots, Casparian strip composing primarily of hydrophobic suberin and lignin functions as a water-impermeable barrier to prevent water and pharmaceuticals from passing through the endodermis via the apoplastic route

(Naseer et al., 2012; Schreiber, 2010). Therefore, pharmaceuticals have to reenter the symplastic pathway to cross cell membranes and enter the xylem. For many nonionic organic compounds, the accumulation in plants is positively related to their lipophilicity as indicated by the linear relationship between BCFs and octanol–water partition coefficient ( $K_{ow}$ ) (Briggs et al., 1982; Briggs et al., 1983). However, plant uptake of ionic compounds is determined by the combination of hydrophobicity, chemical speciation and surrounding solution pH (Briggs et al., 1987; Trapp, 2000). Most pharmaceuticals are ionizable compounds and have low hydrophobicity (e.g.,  $\log D_{ow} < 2$ ). Therefore, the relations developed for nonionic organic contaminants may not be applied to the uptake of pharmaceuticals. For example, no apparent relationship was observed between  $\log$  BCF and  $\log D_{ow}$  (pH-adjusted  $K_{ow}$  to neutral species) for 20 pharmaceuticals (including acids, bases and neutral compounds) in hydroponically grown lettuce, spinach, cucumber and pepper (Wu et al., 2013). However, strong correlations were observed when the data were limited to neutral pharmaceuticals. The relationship between plant uptake of ionic pharmaceuticals and their physicochemical properties still remain largely unknown in the complex soil-water-plant systems, where the dissociation in soil and plant at relevant pHs has been considered as an important factor (Carter et al., 2014; Goldstein et al., 2014; Hyland et al., 2015; Malchi et al., 2014).

This study aims to investigate the fate, uptake and distribution of fifteen diverse pharmaceuticals in soil-radish systems. Radish plants grew in soil with the soil moisture levels at 75%, 60% and 45% of maximum water holding capacity (MWHC), which represent the common range of soil water contents in agricultural field. BCF values, calculated on the basis of pharmaceuticals concentration in bulk soil vs. in pore water, were compared to gain more insight into the bioavailable fractions of pharmaceuticals in soil to plant root uptake. The selected physicochemical properties of pharmaceuticals were evaluated to examine the relation to their

uptake and translocation in plants. This study provided experimental results to verify and improve the understanding of plant uptake of pharmaceuticals from soils in terms of pore water, which delivers the useful information on the risk assessment of human exposure to pharmaceutical-contaminated vegetables from the reuse of treated wastewater and biosolids in agriculture.

## **2. Experimental Section**

### *2.1. Chemicals and Materials*

Acetaminophen, caffeine, carbamazepine, sulfadiazine, sulfamethoxazole, lamotrigine, carbadox, estrone, 17  $\beta$ -estradiol, triclosan, trimethoprim, lincomycin, oxytetracycline, monensin and tylosin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). These fifteen pharmaceuticals (Table 1) were selected because they are commonly present in treated wastewater and biosolids, as well as in agricultural lands. Ceramic homogenizers, octadecylsilane (C18), and primary secondary amine (PSA) were purchased from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA), formic acid, and sodium chloride (NaCl) were purchased from J.T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ, USA). Organic solvents (HPLC grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ). Ultrapure water was produced from a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

A sandy loam soil was collected from Michigan State University Research and Teaching Farm located in Lansing, Michigan. The sampling site had not previously irrigated with treated wastewater or amended with biosolids and manure. The soil contained 79.0 % sand, 12.6 % silt, and 8.4 % clay. The soil was mixed with a portion of peat to achieve soil organic matter content

of 2.8 %. The soil had a cation exchange capacity of 9.2 cmol/kg. The soil was air-dried and passed through 2-mm sieve before use.

## 2.2. Experimental Setup

A mixture of the fifteen pharmaceuticals (Table 1) in acetone was spiked to soil (1.0 kg) and thoroughly mixed in a fume hood. After acetone was evaporated, the soil with spiked pharmaceuticals was mixed thoroughly with 32.0 kg of clean soil using a motorized concrete mixer to achieve the final concentration of 1 µg/g for each pharmaceutical. Five radish (*Raphanus sativus*) seeds (Burpee & Co., Warminster, PA) were planted in each plastic pot containing 1.2 kg of the soil. The pots were irrigated daily with deionized water to maintain water content at 75 %, 60 % and 45 % of MWHC by monitoring the pot weights. No additional fertilizer was added. All experimental pots were prepared in triplicate, including the pharmaceutical-free and radish-free controls. The pots were randomly placed in a climate-controlled greenhouse under sunlight at 25 °C during daytime and 21 °C during night. After seed germination (at day 8), the plants were thinned to two radish seedlings per pot.

For the radish-free controls, soil and pore water samples were collected at 4 hours after irrigation at day 0, 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35. For the uptake experiments, radish were sampled at day 28 (premature stage) and day 35 (mature stage). The radish plants were thoroughly rinsed with deionized water to remove the attached soil particles, wiped with tissue paper, and separated into roots (including bulb) and leaves. Plant samples were weighed, cut into small pieces, freeze-dried and ground to fine powders. All samples were stored at -20 °C prior to extraction. At the same time, soil samples were also collected from the plant pots at day 28 and 35 for the analysis of



pharmaceuticals in soil and pore water. The pH of collected pore water was measured using a Fisher Scientific Accumet AB15 pH meter (Pittsburgh, PA, USA).

### *2.3. Sample Extraction and Analysis*

Pharmaceuticals in radish and soil samples were extracted using a modified QuChERS method (Chuang et al., 2015). In brief, dry radish (0.5 g) or soil sample (2.5 g) was placed in polypropylene centrifuge tube to which 2.0 mL of 150 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA was added with two pieces of ceramic homogenizers and vortexed for 1 min. The sample was then extracted with 5.0 mL of acetonitrile and methanol mixture (v/v = 65/35) by vigorously shaking for 3 min. Then, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (2.0 g) and NaCl (1.0 g) were added and vortexed for another 2 min. The tubes were centrifuged at 2990 g for 10 min, and 1.3 mL of supernatant was transferred into clean 1.5-mL centrifuge tubes containing 250 mg of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and d-SPE sorbents (25 mg of C18, and 25 mg of PSA). The samples were vortexed for 1 min, and centrifuged at 9240 g for 10 min. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 µm polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filter and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis.

Soil pore water was collected immediately after soil sampling using the protocol described by Carter et al. (2014). Briefly, 25 g of soil was placed in a 20-mL disposable plastic syringe with a glass wool insert at the bottom. The syringes were placed in 50-mL centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 4300 g for 40 min. The collected pore water was passed through a 0.22-µm PTFE membrane, and an aliquot of the pore water (0.5 mL) was diluted to 1.0 mL with methanol in clean HPLC glass vials for LC-MS/MS analysis.

The LC-MS/MS system consisted of a Shimadzu prominence high-performance liquid chromatography (Columbia, MD, USA) coupled to a Sciex 4500 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Foster City, CA, USA), and a 50 mm × 2.1 mm Agilent C18 column (Torrance, CA, USA). Detailed information about the LC-MS/MS optimized condition is provided in the supporting information (SI). Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) parameters used for the analysis are listed in Table S1. Extraction efficiency and method detection limits (MDLs) of pharmaceuticals are summarized in Tables S2 and S3.

#### 2.4. Data Analysis

Soil–pore water distribution coefficient ( $K_d$ ) was calculated using equation 1. BCF was calculated by measured pharmaceutical concentration in soil and in pore water using equation 2 and 3. Translocation factor (TF) was calculated using equation 4. The first-order dissipation rate constant ( $k$ ) of pharmaceuticals in soil was estimated by fitting the time-dependent concentration to the first-order decay model using equation 5. The dissipation half-life ( $T_{1/2}$ ) was calculated using equation 6.

$$K_d = \frac{C_s}{C_{pw}} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{BCF}_{\text{soil}} = \frac{C_{\text{root}}}{C_{\text{soil}}} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{BCF}_{\text{pore water}} = \frac{C_{\text{root}}}{C_{pw}} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{TF} = \frac{C_{\text{leaf}}}{C_{\text{root}}} \quad (4)$$

$$C_t = C_0 e^{-kt} \quad (5)$$

$$T_{1/2} = \frac{\ln(2)}{k} \quad (6)$$

where  $C_s$  is pharmaceutical concentration in soil solid phase (total amount of pharmaceuticals in soil subtracted by that present in pore water) (ng/g, dry weight),  $C_{pw}$  is pharmaceutical concentration in pore water (ng/mL),  $C_{soil}$  is pharmaceutical concentration in bulk soil (ng/g, dry weight).  $C_{root}$  and  $C_{leaf}$  are pharmaceutical concentration in radish roots and leaves (ng/g, dry weight), respectively.  $BCF_{soil}$  and  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  are the BCFs calculated on the basis of pharmaceutical concentration in bulk soil and in pore water, respectively.  $C_0$  and  $C_t$  are pharmaceutical concentration at the beginning of the incubation and time  $t$  (d) in soil, respectively. Statistical analysis (All Pairs, Tukey HSD,  $p = 0.05$ ) of experimental results for significant analysis was carried out using SPSS 22.0 software for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Plant Uptake

Radish grew well in the soil with the three water content at 75%, 60%, and 45% of MWHC, and appeared in good health. The associated radish biomass showed no significant difference at the three water contents in the presence and absence of pharmaceuticals ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Figure S1). The radish plants were separated into leaves and roots, and analyzed individually for the accumulated pharmaceuticals (Table S4). All the pharmaceuticals except 17  $\beta$ -estradiol were detected in the radishes sampled at both day 28 and 35. Pharmaceutical concentration in roots ranged from 2.4 to 1774 ng/g. Carbamazepine demonstrated the highest accumulation, which was up to 738 times greater than estrone (the least accumulated compound in roots) at day 35. In the leaves, thirteen out of the fifteen pharmaceuticals (except 17  $\beta$ -estradiol and monensin) were

detected with the concentration range of 2.1 to 14080 ng/g. Carbamazepine, caffeine, lamotrigine and trimethoprim were measured at relatively high concentrations in leaves (462-14080 ng/g), while tylosin and estrone were detected at low concentrations (2.1-12.3 ng/g). Monensin was found to accumulate in the roots only, which was not detected in the leaves.

Pharmaceuticals accumulated in plants may be metabolized, thus further influence their accumulation and distribution in plant organs. In our previous study, we found that 17  $\beta$ -estradiol, sulfamethoxazole, sulfadiazine, estrone, triclosan, acetaminophen, caffeine, carbadox and lamotrigine were extensively metabolized in the radish plants with the mass recoveries ranging from 3.0 to 32.1% after 7 days of hydroponic exposure (Li et al., 2018). In some cases, the amount of formed metabolites can even be greater than the accumulated parent compounds (Goldstein et al., 2014; LeFevre et al., 2017; LeFevre et al., 2015; Macherius et al., 2012a; Malchi et al., 2014; Riemenschneider et al., 2017). For example, Macherius et al. (2012a) reported that the total amount of eight phase-II triclosan conjugates was about 5 times that of triclosan in carrot roots after two-month growth in soil.

The transpiration stream is considered as the main driving force for uptake and transport of pharmaceuticals from soil to plants (Dodgen et al., 2015). In this study, the three common soil water contents (75, 60 and 45 % of MWHC) did not have an apparent impact on the accumulation of pharmaceuticals in the radish tissues. As shown in Table S4, the concentrations of all detected pharmaceuticals (except caffeine) in radishes showed no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) among three soil water contents. This could be due to the factor that the water present in soil at the three soil water contents could be sufficient for radish growth, and the variation in three soil water contents had barely impact on the water use efficiency by radishes. This is evidenced by the fact that there was no significant difference in the amount of transpired water through the radish

growing at the three soil water contents ( $p > 0.05$ , Figure S2). As a result, the uptake of pharmaceuticals from soil pore water could be similar, and the corresponding BCFs or TF values at both harvesting times demonstrated no significant difference for all measured pharmaceuticals in radish growing at the three soil water contents ( $p > 0.05$ , Figures S3 and S4).

### 3.2. Bioconcentration Factor

To better understand the accumulation potential of pharmaceuticals in radish from soil system, the BCF values were calculated on the basis of pharmaceutical concentration in bulk soil ( $BCF_{soil}$ ) and in soil pore water ( $BCF_{pore\ water}$ ). The BCF values were averaged across all radish samples growing at the three soil water contents ( $n = 9$ ), and are shown in Figure 1. The  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  values ranged within 0.26-45.9 mL/g, and the  $BCF_{soil}$  values were within the range of 0.02-5.4 g/g. Triclosan manifested the highest  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  value 45.9 mL/g at day 28, and acetaminophen had the greatest  $BCF_{soil}$  5.4 g/g at day 28. Tylosin demonstrated the lowest  $BCF_{soil}$  and  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  compared to the other pharmaceuticals. Other than the two sulfonamide antibiotics (sulfadiazine and sulfamethoxazole), the  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  values of the pharmaceuticals were 1.2-423 and 1.3-281 times greater than their corresponding  $BCF_{soil}$  values at day 28 and 35, respectively. The greater  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  than  $BCF_{soil}$  values could be due to the less bioavailability of soil-sorbed pharmaceuticals to radish root uptake than pharmaceuticals in soil pore water. For the instance of triclosan, the  $BCF_{soil}$  value was  $< 0.12$  g/g at day 28, and its corresponding  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  was 45.9 mL/g. The strong sorption of triclosan by soil ( $K_d = 316.2$  mL/g) could reduce the bioavailability of sorbed chemical, resulting in the substantially diminished  $BCF_{soil}$ . Different soils manifest varying sorption for pharmaceuticals hence the bioavailability for plant uptake (Fu et al.,

2016b; Goldstein et al., 2014; Malchi et al., 2014). For example, the uptake of triclosan from soils by root vegetables such as radish and carrot demonstrated a wide range of  $BCF_{soil}$  from 0.12 to 6.6 g/g (Carter et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2016b; Macherius et al., 2012b; Pannu et al., 2012; Prosser et al., 2014). Such difference in  $BCF_{soil}$  among the soils (up to 55 times) could be attributed mainly to the varying sorption by soils that lead to the different available fractions of triclosan in pore water to plant uptake. The  $BCF_{soil}$  tends to be elusive as evidenced by that the chemical concentration in bulk soil does not reflect its bioavailability in soil (Hung et al., 2009), and thus the BCFs of pharmaceuticals with different soils give no direct insight to the efficiency of pharmaceutical uptake into plants. In contrast, the concentration in pore water serves as a reasonable basis to evaluate the accumulation potential of pharmaceuticals in soil-plant systems.  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  could also facilitate the comparison of pharmaceuticals uptake by plants from soils by minimizing the effects of soil sorption. To further clarify this point,  $BCF_{soil}$  data of triclosan in radish from this test and a previous study were thus compared in five different soils (Fu et al., 2016b). As illustrated in Figure S5, the  $BCF_{soil}$  of triclosan in radish roots varied among five soils by up to 17.5 times between soil A ( $BCF_{soil} = 2.10\text{ g/g}$ ) and soil D ( $0.12\text{ g/g}$ ), with the detailed data given in Table S6. On the other hand, the corresponding  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  data could also be obtained from Fu et al. 2016b, by using  $BCF_{pore\ water} = BCF_{soil} \times K_d$ , where the soil  $K_d$  values were provided from another report of the same authors using the same soils (Fu et al., 2016a). As shown in Figure S5, the triclosan  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  values ( $41.1\text{-}87.7\text{ mL/g}$ ) varied within a factor of 2.2 among five soils (detail in Table S6), which sharply narrowed the variation relative to that of the corresponding  $BCF_{soil}$  data.

### 3.3. Relationship between Pharmaceutical Properties and Root Uptake

The previous hydroponic studies suggested that the neutral form of organic chemicals generally favors root uptake (Briggs et al., 1982; Tanoue et al., 2012; Trapp, 2000; Wu et al., 2013). To further characterize the relationship between the uptake and pharmaceutical properties in soil-plant systems, pH-adjusted octanol-water partition coefficient ( $D_{ow}$ ) was used to account for the lipophilicity of neutral speciation of pharmaceuticals in soil pore water (Table 1). Both soil and pore water-based log BCF values are plotted against log  $D_{ow}$  for all studied pharmaceuticals. The relationship of log BCF<sub>pore water</sub> vs. log  $D_{ow}$  ( $R^2 = 0.48$ ) or log BCF<sub>soil</sub> vs. log  $D_{ow}$  ( $R^2 = 0.04$ ) is showed in Figures 2A and 2B. These results suggest that partitioning of pharmaceuticals in neutral speciation is not the singular uptake driving force for the accumulation of the investigated pharmaceuticals in roots. The ionic pharmaceutical species could also contribute to the uptake and accumulation in the radish roots.

Root uptake of anionic chemicals could be inhibited by the negative electrical potential across plant cell membranes (between  $-71$  and  $-174$  mV), which might repulse anionic pharmaceuticals to approach the cell surfaces (Trapp, 2009). In this study, soil pore water pH value was  $\sim 8.1$  at which the majority of sulfadiazine (92.5%), sulfamethoxazole (99.6%) and monensin (100%) were anionic, thus limiting their penetration into plant cells, as evidenced by a low bioaccumulation in radish roots (BCF<sub>pore water</sub>  $< 1.0$  mL/g). Carbadox, acetaminophen, and estrone were present in soil pore water primarily in neutral form ( $> 95\%$ ). These chemicals could pass through the cell membrane resulting in relatively higher BCF<sub>pore water</sub>, 1.5 mL/g for carbadox (log  $D_{ow} = -1.22$ ), 6.6 mL/g for acetaminophen (log  $D_{ow} = 0.44$ ), and 23.1 mL/g for estrone (log  $D_{ow} = 3.13$ ).

Cationic chemicals could be attracted to plant root cell membranes via electrostatic interaction with negatively-charged plasmalemma (Inoue et al., 1998; Trapp, 2009). Ion trapping

could enhance the accumulation of cationic pharmaceuticals in plants due to the alteration of chemical speciation in cell organelles (Trapp, 2004). For example, trimethoprim (weak organic base with  $pK_a = 7.12$ ) existed primarily in neutral form ( $> 90\%$ ) in soil pore water ( $pH = 8.1$ ). The neutral trimethoprim could easily enter plant root cells, and be ionized in vacuole where  $pH$  is  $\sim 5.5$  (Trapp, 2000, 2009). The majority of trimethoprim is present as cationic species ( $> 97\%$ ) in the vacuole, and could be trapped in vacuole because less effective to cross the membranes. This could be responsible for the observed relatively high accumulation of trimethoprim in roots ( $BCF_{\text{pore water}} = 4.3 \text{ mL/g}$ ) in spite of its low  $\log D_{ow} = 0.86$ .

Among the 14 pharmaceuticals measured in radish roots, acetaminophen, caffeine, carbamazepine, lamotrigine, carbadox, estrone and trimethoprim were present primarily in neutral form ( $> 90\%$ ) in soil pore water. A strong positive correlation was found between  $\log BCF_{\text{pore water}}$  and  $\log D_{ow}$  ( $R^2 = 0.94$ ) (Figure 2C), suggesting that partitioning could be the primary factor affecting their accumulation in radish roots. This is consistent with the findings from the previous study of uptake of neutral pharmaceuticals by vegetables from hydroponic solution (Wu et al., 2013). Hyland et al. (2015b) calculated the BCFs based on the chemical concentration in irrigation water, and found the positive correlation between  $\log BCF$  and  $\log D_{ow}$  for six pharmaceuticals and three flame retardants in both lettuce and strawberry roots grown in soils ( $R^2 = 0.78$ ). However, in this study, the poor relationship was observed between  $\log BCF_{\text{soil}}$  and  $\log D_{ow}$  for these neutral pharmaceuticals ( $R^2 = 0.03$ ) (Figure 2D), revealing that  $BCF_{\text{pore water}}$  is more appropriate to describe the distribution of pharmaceuticals in soil-water-plant systems, rather than the bulk soil-based BCF.

In addition to charged species and hydrophobicity, the bioaccumulation of pharmaceuticals could also be affected by chemical molecular weight (MW), plant physiology, metabolism, exposure time, and plant growth rates, etc. For example, MW is considered as another factor that



associate with the membrane permeability (Kumar and Gupta, 2016; Topp et al., 1986). Studies on diffusion have indicated that the compounds with MW > 500 g/mol have the restricted membrane permeability (Camenisch et al., 1998), while the compounds with MW > 1000 g/mol are impossible to be absorbed by cells (Sanderson et al., 2004). In this study, the MW of 15 pharmaceuticals is in the range of 151.16-916.10 g/mol (Table 1), among the 14 measured chemicals, 12 compounds with MW < 500 g/mol and 2 compounds with MW > 500 g/mol. Ten out of 12 compounds with MW < 500 g/mol (acetaminophen, caffeine, carbamazepine, lamotrigine, estrone, triclosan, trimethoprim, lincomycin, and oxytetracycline) had the greater BCF<sub>pore water</sub> (1.34-45.9 mL/g) than that of the 2 compounds with MW > 500 g/mol (monensin and tylosin) (0.26-1.0 mL/g). As shown in Figure 2A, tylosin with the highest MW (916.10 g/mol) showed the lowest BCF<sub>pore water</sub> (< 0.32 mL/g) even though the most of this antibiotic presented in the pore water as neutral form (~69%) with the intermediate lipophilicity (log D<sub>ow</sub>, 1.47). The low BCF<sub>pore water</sub> of tylosin was also reported in lettuce (< 0.1 mL/g) and carrot (< 0.54 mL/g) (Boxall et al., 2006). The relative high MW of tylosin limiting its permeability across the cell membranes may account for the low bioaccumulation in vegetables.

### 3.4. Pharmaceuticals Translocation

Many pharmaceuticals in plant roots can be transported upwards to aerial tissues via xylem driven by transpiration stream, and the magnitude can be quantified by translocation factor (TF). In this study, acetaminophen, oxytetracycline, triclosan and tylosin showed less translocation from roots to leaves with TF < 1 (Figure 3A). Monensin and 17  $\beta$ -estradiol were not detected in radish leaves. The TF values for other nine pharmaceuticals were > 1, indicating their strong translocation

from roots to leaves. No apparent relationship was observed between log TF and log  $D_{ow}$  (Figure 3B). This is consistent with the previous hydroponic study in which no correlation between log TF and log  $D_{ow}$  was found for the pharmaceuticals with log  $D_{ow}$  between -3 and 4 (Wu et al., 2013). However, Tanoue et al. (2012) observed that the root-to-leaf translocation is more effective for the pharmaceuticals with moderate hydrophobicity i.e.  $1 < \log D_{ow} < 3$ . However, our experimental results showed that the hydrophilic caffeine manifested the highest translocation (TF = 36.1). The relatively high transpiration stream concentration factor of caffeine could lead to the higher accumulation in plant leaves (Garvin et al., 2015).

The poor relationship between log TF and log  $D_{ow}$  suggests that other factors could influence the translocation processes such as the electrostatic interaction with ionizable pharmaceuticals (e.g., ion trapping), plant physiology, molecular size, and in-plant metabolism. For example, ion trapping may decrease the translocation of lamotrigine from roots to leaves. Carbamazepine and lamotrigine exhibit the similar log  $D_{ow}$  (2.45 and 2.57, respectively) and MW (236.27 and 256.10 g/mol, respectively). Both chemicals existed as neutral form in the pore water and had the similar  $BCF_{\text{pore water}}$  (~11 mL/g). However, the TF of carbamazepine (~8.0) was about 4 times greater than that of lamotrigine (~2.0). Lamotrigine is a weak organic base ( $pK_a = 5.34$ ); after neutral species entered the root cell, lamotrigine could be dissociated and accept protons in the cell vacuole (pH ~5.5). In the vacuoles, ~41% of lamotrigine was positively charged, which could be trapped in vacuoles or interact with the negatively charged cell walls. This process could largely reduce the translocation of lamotrigine to radish leaves, compared to the neutral carbamazepine. The less translocation of lamotrigine relative to carbamazepine was also observed in sweet potato, carrot, tomato, and cucumber (Goldstein et al., 2014; Malchi et al., 2014). Goldstein et al. (2018) demonstrated that the transpiration stream concentration factor of

carbamazepine (~0.7-0.9) in cucumber was about 4.6 times higher than that of lamotrigine (~0.1-0.25), which is similar to the TF difference (~4 times) in radish between the two chemicals. The TF values of intermediate hydrophobic compounds monensin ( $\log D_{ow} = 1.65$ ) and tylosin ( $\log D_{ow} = 1.47$ ) was 0 and 0.4, respectively, which are much less than the predicted transport based on the hydrophobicity (Tanoue et al., 2012). The relatively large-sized monensin and tylosin with MW > 500 g/mol could limit their transport across the cell membrane and enter xylem, hence decrease their accumulation in leaves (Limmer and Burken, 2014).

### 3.5. Pharmaceutical Distribution in Soil and Relation to Plant Uptake

The  $K_d$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ , and residual fractions of pharmaceuticals at day 35 are reported in Table 2. The tested soil demonstrated a range of sorption capacity for the fifteen pharmaceuticals as indicated by the  $K_d$  values from 0.3 to 316 mL/g. The half-lives ranged from 5.0 to >35 days, and the concentration profiles of pharmaceuticals in bulk soil and pore water over time are plotted in Figure S6. Pharmaceutical with strong sorption to soil and/or short half-life is generally less bioavailable to plant uptake. For example, 17  $\beta$ -estradiol had relatively strong sorption to soil ( $K_d = 34.9$  mL/g) and a rapid degradation rate ( $T_{1/2} = 7.5$  days), as a result, little 17  $\beta$ -estradiol was found in radish. In contrast, carbamazepine was weakly sorbed by soil ( $K_d = 4.2$  mL/g) and highly persistent in soil ( $T_{1/2} > 35$  days, 78.4 % remained after 35 days). Therefore, a large fraction of carbamazepine remained in soil and pore water, which is readily available to root uptake leading to the relatively high accumulation of carbamazepine. This could partially explain the high concentration of carbamazepine (e.g., up to 400 ng/g) found in various vegetables irrigated with treated wastewater (Goldstein et al., 2014; Malchi et al., 2014; Paltiel et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2014).

Soil pore water is the media to move pharmaceuticals into plants. Pharmaceutical concentration in soil pore water could be estimated using sorption coefficient ( $K$ ) obtained from another independent batch equilibration experiment (detailed in SI) in which  $C_{\text{pore water}} = C_{\text{soil}} / K$  (Chiou et al., 2001). The  $K$  values used here were calculated from the slope of sorption isotherm of pharmaceutical by the tested soil (Figure S7 and Table S6). To evaluate the impact of sorption and desorption of pharmaceuticals in soils to the uptake by radish, the  $\text{BCF}_{\text{pore water}}$  values were estimated using  $\text{BCF}_{\text{soil}} \times K$ , and then compared to the measured  $\text{BCF}_{\text{pore water}}$  (Figure 4). The predicted  $\text{BCF}_{\text{pore water}}$  values are within 3.0 times of difference compared to the measured  $\text{BCF}_{\text{pore water}}$  for all the measured pharmaceuticals at both day 28 and 35, except for estrone and lincomycin are within 3.0-5.5 times of difference. Recall that the measurement of sorption isotherm (to obtain  $K$  values) was equilibrated for 48 hours, while plant uptake experiment was conducted for 35 days. The sorption of pharmaceuticals in the pot experiments (without plant) at different sampling intervals during the 35-day studies are also compared to the 48-hour sorption equilibration isotherms (Figure S7). The results revealed that distribution of most pharmaceuticals between soil and water in the 35-day study is similar to the sorption of 48-hour equilibration. The good agreement between the measured and the predicted  $\text{BCF}_{\text{pore water}}$  indicates that soil-sorbed pharmaceuticals could quickly establish sorption/desorption equilibrium with soil pore water (< 48 hours). Therefore, pharmaceutical desorption from soil is not the limiting factor governing the uptake of pharmaceuticals from soil to radish. These results provide the basis for assuming the fast sorption equilibration of pharmaceuticals between soil and pore water when modeling the movement of pharmaceuticals from soil to pore water for plant uptake.

#### 4. Conclusion

This study reveals that pharmaceuticals could enter plant from contaminated soil, and sorption and biodegradation in soil are the determinants of the bioavailable amounts of pharmaceuticals to plant uptake. The comparison of the bulk soil-based  $BCF_{soil}$  and pore water-based  $BCF_{pore\ water}$  suggests that the pharmaceuticals in pore water represent the more effective fractions for plant uptake than those sorbed by soil. In addition, the good positive correlation ( $R^2 = 0.93$ ) between  $\log BCF_{pore\ water}$  and  $\log D_{ow}$  vs. the poor correlation between  $\log BCF_{soil}$  and  $\log D_{ow}$  ( $R^2 = 0.03$ ) for neutral pharmaceuticals indicate that pharmaceuticals in pore water is more appropriate for developing the relationships for predicting the accumulation in plants. We therefore highly recommend  $BCF_{pore\ water}$ , instead of  $BCF_{soil}$ , be used to evaluate the distribution of pharmaceuticals in soil-plant systems. Anionic pharmaceuticals generally showed less uptake than neutral pharmaceuticals. “Ion trapping” effects may enhance the accumulation of basic pharmaceuticals accumulation in the roots but limit the transport to leaves. Our results indicate that pharmaceutical in pore water is an important factor to control their uptake by food crops from contaminated agricultural soils. The results help to better understand the common processes of pharmaceutical transport and distribution in soil-water-plant systems through land application of biosolids or animal manure. However, the experiment may not well mimic the processes of plant uptake of pharmaceuticals via irrigation with treated wastewater. The human dietary intake of these pharmaceuticals via radishes seems to be small, as the measured amounts are much lower than the amount of single medical dose (usually in the range of 10–200 mg). However, little is known about the long-term risks of exposure to the mixture of pharmaceuticals and metabolites. Therefore, more studies are still needed to warrant better understanding of pharmaceutical transport, uptake and metabolism in soil-plant systems.

## Acknowledgement

This study was supported in part by Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Competitive Grant 2016-67017-24514 from USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, and Michigan AgBioResearch Project GREEN.

## Appendix A. Supporting Information

Additional description is available regarding analytical methods, soil-pore water distribution coefficient, pharmaceuticals concentration in plant tissues, sorption measurement using batch equilibration method, radish biomass, BCF values, TF values, and water transpiration, Comparison between the 48 hours sorption isotherms and long term (35 days) sorption isotherms in greenhouse experiment.

## References

- Blaine, A.C., Rich, C.D., Sedlacko, E.M., Hundal, L.S., Kumar, K., Lau, C., Mills, M.A., Harris, K.M., Higgins, C.P., 2014. Perfluoroalkyl acid distribution in various plant compartments of edible crops grown in biosolids-amended soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 7858-7865.
- Boxall, A.B., Johnson, P., Smith, E.J., Sinclair, C.J., Stutt, E., Levy, L.S., 2006. Uptake of veterinary medicines from soils into plants. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 54, 2288-2297.
- Briggs, G.G., Bromilow, R.H., Evans, A.A., 1982. Relationships between lipophilicity and root uptake and translocation of non - ionised chemicals by barley. *Pestic. Sci.* 13, 495-504.
- Briggs, G.G., Bromilow, R.H., Evans, A.A., Williams, M., 1983. Relationships between lipophilicity and the distribution of non - ionised chemicals in barley shoots following uptake by the roots. *Pestic. Sci.* 14, 492-500.
- Briggs, G.G., Rigitano, R.L., Bromilow, R.H., 1987. Physico - chemical factors affecting uptake by roots and translocation to shoots of weak acids in barley. *Pestic. Sci.* 19, 101-112.
- Butler, E., Whelan, M.J., Sakrabani, R., van Egmond, R., 2012. Fate of triclosan in field soils receiving sewage sludge. *Environ. Pollut.* 167, 101-109.
- Camenisch, G., Alsenz, J., van de Waterbeemd, H., Folkers, G., 1998. Estimation of permeability by passive diffusion through Caco-2 cell monolayers using the drugs' lipophilicity and molecular weight. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 6, 313-319.
- Carter, L.J., Harris, E., Williams, M., Ryan, J.J., Kookana, R.S., Boxall, A.B., 2014. Fate and uptake of pharmaceuticals in soil-plant systems. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 62, 816-825.

- Carter, L.J., Williams, M., Martin, S., Kamaludeen, S.P., Kookana, R.S., 2018. Sorption, plant uptake and metabolism of benzodiazepines. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 628, 18-25.
- Carvalho, P.N., Basto, M.C.P., Almeida, C.M.R., Brix, H., 2014. A review of plant–pharmaceutical interactions: from uptake and effects in crop plants to phytoremediation in constructed wetlands. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 11729-11763.
- Chiou, C.T., Sheng, G., Manes, M., 2001. A partition-limited model for the plant uptake of organic contaminants from soil and water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35, 1437-1444.
- Christou, A., Karaolia, P., Hapeshi, E., Michael, C., Fatta-Kassinos, D., 2017. Long-term wastewater irrigation of vegetables in real agricultural systems: Concentration of pharmaceuticals in soil, uptake and bioaccumulation in tomato fruits and human health risk assessment. *Water Res.* 109, 24-34.
- Chuang, Y.-H., Zhang, Y., Zhang, W., Boyd, S.A., Li, H., 2015. Comparison of accelerated solvent extraction and quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe method for extraction and determination of pharmaceuticals in vegetables. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1404, 1-9.
- Clarke, B.O., Smith, S.R., 2011. Review of ‘emerging’ organic contaminants in biosolids and assessment of international research priorities for the agricultural use of biosolids. *Environ. Int.* 37, 226-247.
- Daughton, C.G., Ternes, T.A., 1999. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment: agents of subtle change? *Environ. Health Perspect.* 107, 907-938.
- de Boer, M.A., Hammerton, M., Slootweg, J.C., 2018. Uptake of pharmaceuticals by sorbent-amended struvite fertilisers recovered from human urine and their bioaccumulation in tomato fruit. *Water Res.* 133, 19-26.
- Dodgen, L.K., Ueda, A., Wu, X., Parker, D.R., Gan, J., 2015. Effect of transpiration on plant accumulation and translocation of PPCP/EDCs. *Environ. Pollut.* 198, 144-153.
- Durán-Alvarez, J.C., Becerril-Bravo, E., Castro, V.S., Jiménez, B., Gibson, R., 2009. The analysis of a group of acidic pharmaceuticals, carbamazepine, and potential endocrine disrupting compounds in wastewater irrigated soils by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. *Talanta* 78, 1159-1166.
- Fu, Q., Sanganyado, E., Ye, Q., Gan, J., 2016a. Meta-analysis of biosolid effects on persistence of triclosan and triclocarban in soil. *Environ. Pollut.* 210, 137-144.
- Fu, Q., Wu, X., Ye, Q., Ernst, F., Gan, J., 2016b. Biosolids inhibit bioavailability and plant uptake of triclosan and triclocarban. *Water Res.* 102, 117-124.
- Garvin, N., Doucette, W.J., White, J.C., 2015. Investigating differences in the root to shoot transfer and xylem sap solubility of organic compounds between zucchini, squash and soybean using a pressure chamber method. *Chemosphere* 130, 98-102.
- Goldstein, M., Malchi, T., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B., 2018. Pharmacokinetics in Plants: Carbamazepine and Its Interactions with Lamotrigine. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 6957-6964.
- Goldstein, M., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B., 2014. Insights into the uptake processes of wastewater-borne pharmaceuticals by vegetables. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 5593-5600.
- Gros, M., Petrović, M., Ginebreda, A., Barceló, D., 2010. Removal of pharmaceuticals during wastewater treatment and environmental risk assessment using hazard indexes. *Environ. Int.* 36, 15-26.
- Hung, H.-W., Sheng, G.D., Lin, T.-F., Su, Y., Chiou, C.T., 2009. The organic contamination level based on the total soil mass is not a proper index of the soil contamination intensity. *Environ. Pollut.* 157, 2928-2932.
- Hurtado, C., Domínguez, C., Pérez-Babace, L., Cañameras, N., Comas, J., Bayona, J.M., 2016. Estimate of uptake and translocation of emerging organic contaminants from irrigation water concentration in lettuce grown under controlled conditions. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 305, 139-148.
- Hyland, K.C., Blaine, A.C., Dickenson, E.R., Higgins, C.P., 2015. Accumulation of contaminants of emerging concern in food crops—part 1: Edible strawberries and lettuce grown in reclaimed water. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 34, 2213-2221.

Inoue, J., Chamberlain, K., Bromilow, R.H., 1998. Physicochemical factors affecting the uptake by roots and translocation to shoots of amine bases in barley. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 54, 8-21.

Karnjanapiboonwong, A., Chase, D.A., Cañas, J.E., Jackson, W.A., Maul, J.D., Morse, A.N., Anderson, T.A., 2011. Uptake of  $17\alpha$ -ethynylestradiol and triclosan in pinto bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 74, 1336-1342.

Kinney, C.A., Furlong, E.T., Werner, S.L., Cahill, J.D., 2006. Presence and distribution of wastewater - derived pharmaceuticals in soil irrigated with reclaimed water. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 25, 317-326.

Kolpin, D.W., Furlong, E.T., Meyer, M.T., Thurman, E.M., Zaugg, S.D., Barber, L.B., Buxton, H.T., 2002. Pharmaceuticals, hormones, and other organic wastewater contaminants in US streams, 1999-2000: A national reconnaissance. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36, 1202-1211.

Kumar, K., Gupta, S.C., 2016. A framework to predict uptake of trace organic compounds by plants. *J. Environ. Qual.* 45, 555-564.

LeFevre, G.H., Lipsky, A., Hyland, K.C., Blaine, A.C., Higgins, C.P., Luthy, R.G., 2017. Benzotriazole (BT) and BT plant metabolites in crops irrigated with recycled water. *Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol.* 3, 213-223.

LeFevre, G.H., Müller, C.E., Li, R.J., Luthy, R.G., Sattely, E.S., 2015. Rapid phytotransformation of benzotriazole generates synthetic tryptophan and auxin analogs in *Arabidopsis*. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 10959-10968.

Li, Y., Chuang, Y.-H., Sallach, J.B., Zhang, W., Boyd, S.A., Li, H., 2018. Potential metabolism of pharmaceuticals in radish: Comparison of in vivo and in vitro exposure. *Environ. Pollut.* 242, 962-969.

Limmer, M.A., Burken, J.G., 2014. Plant Translocation of Organic Compounds: Molecular and Physicochemical Predictors. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 1, 156-161.

Macherius, A., Eggen, T., Lorenz, W., Moeder, M., Ondruschka, J., Reemtsma, T., 2012a. Metabolization of the bacteriostatic agent triclosan in edible plants and its consequences for plant uptake assessment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 10797-10804.

Macherius, A., Eggen, T., Lorenz, W.G., Reemtsma, T., Winkler, U., Moeder, M., 2012b. Uptake of galaxolide, tonalide, and triclosan by carrot, barley, and meadow fescue plants. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 60, 7785-7791.

Madikizela, L., Ncube, S., Chimuka, L., 2018. Uptake of pharmaceuticals by plants grown under hydroponic conditions and natural occurring plant species: A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 636, 477-486.

Malchi, T., Maor, Y., Tadmor, G., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B., 2014. Irrigation of root vegetables with treated wastewater: evaluating uptake of pharmaceuticals and the associated human health risks. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 9325-9333.

McFarlane, C., Trapp, S., 1994. Plant contamination: modeling and simulation of organic chemical processes. CRC Press.

McClellan, K., Halden, R.U., 2010. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in archived US biosolids from the 2001 EPA national sewage sludge survey. *Water Res.* 44, 658-668.

Miller, E.L., Nason, S.L., Karthikeyan, K., Pedersen, J.A., 2016. Root Uptake of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Product Ingredients. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 525-541.

Naseer, S., Lee, Y., Lapierre, C., Franke, R., Nawrath, C., Geldner, N., 2012. Casparian strip diffusion barrier in *Arabidopsis* is made of a lignin polymer without suberin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 10101-10106.

Paltiel, O., Fedorova, G., Tadmor, G., Kleinstern, G., Maor, Y., Chefetz, B., 2016. Human Exposure to Wastewater-Derived Pharmaceuticals in Fresh Produce: A Randomized Controlled Trial Focusing on Carbamazepine. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 4476-4482.



584 Pan, M., Wong, C.K., Chu, L., 2014. Distribution of antibiotics in wastewater-irrigated soils and their  
 585 accumulation in vegetable crops in the Pearl River Delta, southern China. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 62,  
 586 11062-11069.  
 587 Pannu, M.W., Toor, G.S., O'Connor, G.A., Wilson, P.C., 2012. Toxicity and bioaccumulation of biosolids -  
 588 borne triclosan in food crops. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 31, 2130-2137.  
 589 Prosser, R.S., Lissemore, L., Topp, E., Sibley, P.K., 2014. Bioaccumulation of triclosan and triclocarban in  
 590 plants grown in soils amended with municipal dewatered biosolids. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 33,  
 591 975-984.  
 592 Riemenschneider, C., Seiwert, B., Moeder, M., Schwarz, D., Reemtsma, T., 2017. Extensive transformation  
 593 of the pharmaceutical carbamazepine following uptake into intact tomato plants. *Environ. Sci.*  
 594 *Technol.* 51, 6100-6109.  
 595 Sallach, J.B., Zhang, Y., Hodges, L., Snow, D., Li, X., Bartelt-Hunt, S., 2015. Concomitant uptake of  
 596 antimicrobials and Salmonella in soil and into lettuce following wastewater irrigation. *Environ.*  
 597 *Pollut.* 197, 269-277.  
 598 Sanderson, H., Johnson, D.J., Reitsma, T., Brain, R.A., Wilson, C.J., Solomon, K.R., 2004. Ranking and  
 599 prioritization of environmental risks of pharmaceuticals in surface waters. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharm.*  
 600 39, 158-183.  
 601 Schreiber, L., 2010. Transport barriers made of cutin, suberin and associated waxes. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15,  
 602 546-553.  
 603 Shenker, M., Harush, D., Ben-Ari, J., Chefetz, B., 2011. Uptake of carbamazepine by cucumber plants—a  
 604 case study related to irrigation with reclaimed wastewater. *Chemosphere* 82, 905-910.  
 605 Sui, Q., Huang, J., Deng, S., Chen, W., Yu, G., 2011. Seasonal variation in the occurrence and removal of  
 606 pharmaceuticals and personal care products in different biological wastewater treatment  
 607 processes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 3341-3348.  
 608 Tanoue, R., Sato, Y., Motoyama, M., Nakagawa, S., Shinohara, R., Nomiyama, K., 2012. Plant uptake of  
 609 pharmaceutical chemicals detected in recycled organic manure and reclaimed wastewater. *J.*  
 610 *Agric. Food. Chem.* 60, 10203-10211.  
 611 Topp, E., Scheunert, I., Attar, A., Korte, F., 1986. Factors affecting the uptake of 14C-labeled organic  
 612 chemicals by plants from soil. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 11, 219-228.  
 613 Trapp, S., 2000. Modelling uptake into roots and subsequent translocation of neutral and ionisable organic  
 614 compounds. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 56, 767-778.  
 615 Trapp, S., 2004. Plant uptake and transport models for neutral and ionic chemicals. *Environ. Sci. Pollut.*  
 616 *Res.* 11, 33-39.  
 617 Trapp, S., 2009. Bioaccumulation of polar and ionizable compounds in plants, *Ecotoxicology modeling.*  
 618 Springer, pp. 299-353.  
 619 Trapp, S., Pussemier, L., 1991. Model calculations and measurements of uptake and translocation of  
 620 carbamates by bean plants. *Chemosphere* 22, 327-339.  
 621 Vanderford, B.J., Snyder, S.A., 2006. Analysis of pharmaceuticals in water by isotope dilution liquid  
 622 chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 7312-7320.  
 623 Vazquez-Roig, P., Segarra, R., Blasco, C., Andreu, V., Picó, Y., 2010. Determination of pharmaceuticals in  
 624 soils and sediments by pressurized liquid extraction and liquid chromatography tandem mass  
 625 spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1217, 2471-2483.  
 626 Waller, N.J., Kookana, R.S., 2009. Effect of triclosan on microbial activity in Australian soils. *Environ. Toxicol.*  
 627 *Chem.* 28, 65-70.  
 628 Wu, C., Spongberg, A.L., Witter, J.D., 2009. Adsorption and degradation of triclosan and triclocarban in  
 629 soils and biosolids-amended soils. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 57, 4900-4905.

- Wu, C., Spongberg, A.L., Witter, J.D., Fang, M., Czajkowski, K.P., 2010. Uptake of pharmaceutical and personal care products by soybean plants from soils applied with biosolids and irrigated with contaminated water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 6157-6161.
- Wu, X., Conkle, J.L., Ernst, F., Gan, J., 2014. Treated wastewater irrigation: uptake of pharmaceutical and personal care products by common vegetables under field conditions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 11286-11293.
- Wu, X., Ernst, F., Conkle, J.L., Gan, J., 2013. Comparative uptake and translocation of pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) by common vegetables. *Environ. Int.* 60, 15-22.
- Xu, J., Wu, L., Chang, A.C., 2009. Degradation and adsorption of selected pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in agricultural soils. *Chemosphere* 77, 1299-1305.

## Tables and Figures

**Table 1.** Summary of molecular weight (MW), *n*-octanol–water partition coefficient ( $\log K_{ow}$ ), pH-adjusted octanol–water partition coefficient to the basis of neutral speciation ( $\log D_{ow}$ ), acidic dissociation constant ( $pK_a$ ), and fraction of neutral and ionic pharmaceutical speciation in soil pore water ( $pH \approx 8.1$ ).

Pharmaceutical	MW (g/mol)	$\log K_{ow}^a$	$\log D_{ow}$	$pK_a$	Neutral fraction (%) <sup>i</sup>	Ionic fraction (%) <sup>i</sup>
Acetaminophen	151.16	0.46	0.44	9.38 (acid) <sup>a</sup>	95.2	4.8 (anion)
Caffeine	194.19	-0.07	-0.07	—	100	—
Carbamazepine	236.27	2.45	2.45	2.3 (acid), 13.9 (base) <sup>b</sup>	100	—
Sulfadiazine	250.28	-0.09	-1.21	2.01 (base), 6.99 (acid) <sup>c</sup>	7.5	92.5 (anion)
Sulfamethoxazole	253.28	0.89	-1.49	1.6 (base), 5.7 (acid) <sup>d</sup>	0.42	99.6 (anion)
Lamotrigine	256.10	2.57 <sup>e</sup>	2.57	5.34 (base) <sup>e</sup>	99.8	0.2 (cation)

Carbadox	262.22	-1.22	-1.22	1.8 (base), 10.5 (acid) <sup>c</sup>	99.6	0.4 (anion)
Estrone	270.37	3.13	3.13	10.77 (acid) <sup>h</sup>	99.8	0.2 (anion)
17 $\beta$ -estradiol	272.38	4.01	4.01	10.71 (acid) <sup>h</sup>	99.8	0.2 (anion)
Triclosan	289.54	4.76	4.36	7.9 (acid) <sup>a</sup>	39.8	60.2 (anion)
Trimethoprim	290.32	0.91	0.86	7.12 (base) <sup>a</sup>	90.1	9.9 (cation)
Lincomycin	406.54	0.2	0.08	7.6 (base) <sup>a</sup>	75.1	24.9 (cation)
Oxytetracycline	460.43	-0.9	-1.76	3.23 (acid), 7.32 (acid), 9.11 (base) <sup>f</sup>	13.7	86.3 (anion)
Monensin	692.87	5.43	1.65	4.3 (acid) <sup>a</sup>	0.02	100 (anion)
Tylosin	916.10	1.63	1.47	7.73 (base) <sup>g</sup>	69.1	30.9 (cation)

<sup>a</sup>From TOXNET database: <http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/index.html>, <sup>b</sup>Dodgen et al.(2015), <sup>c</sup>Chuang et al. (2015), <sup>d</sup>Tanoue et al. (2012), <sup>e</sup>Malchi et al. (2014), <sup>f</sup>Sassman and Lee (2005), <sup>g</sup>Boxall et al. (2006), <sup>h</sup>Lewis and Archer (1979), and <sup>i</sup>calculated on the pH-pK<sub>a</sub> relationship.


**Table 2.** Soil-water distribution coefficient ( $K_d$ ), half-life ( $T_{1/2}$ ), and residual fractions of pharmaceuticals in soil at the end of experiment (35 days). The values are the average of nine soil samples at three soil water contents (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation,  $n = 9$ ).

Pharmaceutical	$K_d$ (mL/g) <sup>a</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ (days)	Residual fraction (%)
Acetaminophen	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	6.1 $\pm$ 0.1	1.6 $\pm$ 0.1
Caffeine	7.5 $\pm$ 1.3	8.6 $\pm$ 0.5	7.5 $\pm$ 1.1
Carbamazepine	4.2 $\pm$ 0.5	>35	78.4 $\pm$ 2.4
Sulfadiazine	0.8 $\pm$ 0.1	8.0 $\pm$ 0.2	2.1 $\pm$ 0.2
Sulfamethoxazole	0.3 $\pm$ 0.0	7.2 $\pm$ 0.4	1.5 $\pm$ 0.2
Lamotrigine	3.0 $\pm$ 0.2	27.8 $\pm$ 1.1	31.5 $\pm$ 3.1
Carbadox	15.4 $\pm$ 0.6	>35	58.5 $\pm$ 1.4
Estrone	116.3 $\pm$ 20.3	10.5 $\pm$ 0.2	9.9 $\pm$ 0.9
17 $\beta$ -estradiol	34.9 $\pm$ 1.8	7.5 $\pm$ 0.1	3.6 $\pm$ 0.3


Triclosan	$316.2 \pm 21.9$	>35	$70.7 \pm 2.7$
Trimethoprim	$25.2 \pm 3.1$	>35	$55.0 \pm 0.8$
Lincomycin	$1.9 \pm 0.2$	$5.0 \pm 0.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$
Oxytetracycline	$33.6 \pm 1.5$	$29.0 \pm 1.2$	$33.9 \pm 1.4$
Monensin	$3.5 \pm 0.3$	$14.3 \pm 0.5$	$18.5 \pm 0.8$
Tylosin	$9.7 \pm 0.3$	>35	$50.9 \pm 2.7$

---


<sup>a</sup> Average the data sampled at day 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35 of the radish-free controls.



**Figure 1.** Bioconcentration factors (BCF) of pharmaceuticals in radish roots on the basis of their concentrations in pore water (A) and in bulk soil (B). The values are the average of nine radish roots samples collected at the three soil water contents (one sample per pot, n = 9). The error bars represent the standard deviations.




**Figure 2.** Linear relationship between log BCF of radish roots and log  $D_{ow}$  for all measured pharmaceuticals (A and B) and the neutral pharmaceuticals (C and D).



**Figure 3.** (A) Translocation factor (TF) of pharmaceuticals, and (B) relation between log TF and log  $D_{ow}$ .

The values are the average of nine radish growing at three soil water contents. The error bars represent the standard deviation (one sample per pot, n = 9).



**Figure 4.** Comparison between predicted and measured pore water-based bioconcentration factors (BCF<sub>pore water</sub>) of pharmaceuticals in radish roots.